

## Statistical vs. direct $\gamma$ -decay of $^{64,65}\text{Ni}$

L. Crespo Campo<sup>1</sup>, M. Guttormsen<sup>1</sup>, A. C. Larsen<sup>1</sup>, F. L. Bello Garrote<sup>1</sup>, T. K. Erisken<sup>2</sup>, A. Görgen<sup>1</sup>, K. Hadynska-Klek<sup>3</sup>, M. Klintefjord<sup>1</sup>, T. Renstrøm<sup>1</sup>, E. Sahin<sup>1</sup>, S. Siem<sup>1</sup>, A. Springer<sup>1</sup>, T. G. Tornyi<sup>2</sup>, G. M. Tveten<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Dept. of Physics, University of Oslo, N-0316 Oslo, Norway

<sup>2</sup> Dept. of Nuclear Physics, The Australian National University, ACT 2601, Australia.

<sup>3</sup> Istituto Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare, Lab. Nazionali di Legnaro, 2 35020 Legnaro, Italy

Several analytical techniques in nuclear physics are based on the generalized Brink-Axel hypothesis (gBA), since it considerably simplifies calculations. In general terms, the gBA hypothesis implies that the dipole  $\gamma$ -strength is independent on the structure of the initial state, having no explicit dependence on the excitation energy, spin or parity, besides the selection rules for dipole transitions [1, 2]. Therefore, when the gBA hypothesis is valid, the  $\gamma$ -Strength Function ( $\gamma\text{SF}$ ) depends solely on the  $\gamma$ -ray energy for dipole radiation [3].

Given the extensive application of the gBA hypothesis, it is of great importance to determine the circumstances under which this hypothesis holds. This is often a difficult task, specially for nuclei in which strong Porter-Thomas fluctuations are observed. As shown in Ref. [5], the heavy odd-odd nucleus  $^{237}\text{Np}$  presents a extremely high level density ( $\approx 10^7$  levels/MeV). Averaging over many  $\gamma$ -transitions can be then performed and Porter-Thomas fluctuations are less significant. In contrast, lighter nuclei such as  $^{64,65}\text{Ni}$  present a much lower level density ( $\approx 10^3$  levels/MeV) and strong Porter-Thomas fluctuations are seen. Extracting conclusions regarding the validity of the gBA hypothesis becomes then more difficult and the role of Porter-Thomas fluctuations needs to be investigated in more detail.

In this talk, the validity of the gBA hypothesis in  $^{64,65}\text{Ni}$  is discussed. The analysis of particle- $\gamma$  coincidences on the  $^{64}\text{Ni}(p, p'\gamma)^{64}\text{Ni}$  and  $^{64}\text{Ni}(d, p\gamma)^{65}\text{Ni}$  reactions [6, 7] is here presented together with a further study of the  $\gamma\text{SF}$  of  $^{64,65}\text{Ni}$ . The dependence of the  $\gamma\text{SF}$  with initial and final excitation energy is investigated and the role of Porter-Thomas fluctuations estimated.

- [1] D. M. Brink, P.h.D. thesis, Oxford University, 1955.
- [2] P. Axel, Phys. Rev. 126, 671 (1962).
- [3] G. A. Bartholomew *et al.*, Advances in Nuclear Physics, edited by M. Baranger and E. Vogt (Plenum, New York, 1973), Vol. 7, p. 229.
- [4] C. E. Porter and R. G. Thomas, Phys. Rev. **104**, 483 (1956).
- [5] M. Guttormsen, *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **116**, 012502 (2016). M. Guttormsen, *et al.*, *Is the generalized Brink-Axel hypothesis valid?*, 26th International Nuclear Physics Conference, Adelaide, Australia. Submitted to Proceedings of Science (2016).
- [6] L. Crespo Campo, *et al.* Phys. Rev. C **T 94**, 044321 (2016)
- [7] L. Crespo Campo, *et al.*, *Investigating the  $\gamma$ -decay of  $^{65}\text{Ni}$* , to be submitted to Phys. Rev. C.

